



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | 1                        | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/473,522           |                          | 12/28/1999  | KENNETH A. PARULSKI    | 78744PRC                | 78744PRC 1080    |  |
| 1333                 | 7590                     | 08/17/2004  |                        | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| PATENT I             | LEGAL S                  | STAFF       | HAMILTON, MONPLAISIR G |                         |                  |  |
| EASTMAN<br>343 STATE |                          | COMPANY     |                        | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| ROCHESTI             | ROCHESTER, NY 14650-2201 |             |                        |                         | 2135             |  |
|                      |                          |             |                        | DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   | À   |
|--|---|--|---|
| Advisory Action  | 09/473,522  | PARULSKI ET AL.  |   |
| The tree of the tr | Examiner  | Art Unit   |   |
|  | Monplaisir G Hamilton   | 2135   |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add  | ress  |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | roid abandonment of this applica<br>a timely filed amendment which<br>(with appeal fee); or (3) a timely  | ition. A proper reply  | y to a<br>tion in   |
| _  | PLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |   |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of       | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate the fee. The appropriate the final control of the final rejection. | on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF   | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of  | riod set forth in<br>the appeal.   |   |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be  | cause:  |  |   |
| (a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe  | r consideration and/or search (s  | ee NOTE below);  |   |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be  | elow);  |  |   |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>   | better form for appeal by mater   | ially reducing or sin  | nplifying the   |
| (d)  they present additional claims without canceling  | ng a corresponding number of fir  | nally rejected claims  | <b>3</b> .  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |   |  |   |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti  | on(s):  |  |   |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would local canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | pe allowable if submitted in a se   | parate, timely filed a   | amendment   |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:   | reconsideration has been consid<br>   | dered but does NOT   | place the   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | use it is not directed SOLELY to  | issues which were  | newly   |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo   | s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[<br>uld be rejected is provided belov  | will be entered a vor appended.  | nd an   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) allowed:  | •   |  |   |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.   |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |   |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro   | oved or b) disapproved by th  | e Examiner   |   |
| 9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement   |   |  |   |
| 10.  | (a)(1.10.1.10)1 apol (10(0)   | <del></del> •  |   |
| <del></del>  |   |  |   |
|  |   |  |   |
|  |   |  |   |
|  |   |  |   |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended, independent claims 1 and 6-10 "to more clearly set forth the present invention. Applicant's amendment to claims 1 and 6-10 particularly point out that a random seed is generated in the digital camera, and the random seed is used to generate a private key and a public key." This amendment requires further search and consideration.

SUPERVISURY PATENT EXAMIN:

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 216